FILED
DONNA McQUALITY
CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT
02/18/2021 11:31AM
BY: KMADDEN
DEPUTY

1	Firm E-Mail for Official Court Documents	Case No.: P1300CV202100142	
	courtdocs@dickinsonwright.com	HON. JOHN NAPPER	
2	Scot L. Claus (#014999)		
2	sclaus@dickinsonwright.com		
3	Vail C. Cloar (#032011)		
4	vcloar@dickinsonwright.com Holly M. Zoe (#033333)		
4	hzoe@dickinsonwright.com		
5	DICKINSON WRIGHT PLLC		
	1850 N. Central Ave., Suite 1400		
6	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4568		
	Telephone: (602) 285-5000		
7	Facsimile: (844) 670-6009		
	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
8			
	CLIDADA CONDE	NE ARIZONA	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA		
10	YAVAPAI COUNTY		
10			
11	LA MERRA HOLDINGS, LLC, a Delaware	Case No.	
	Limited Liability Company, and THE SMOKE		
12	TRAIL RANCH PROPERTY OWNERS		
	ASSOCIATION, INC., an Arizona Nonprofit	COMPLAINT	
13	Corporation		
	71.1.100	(Declaratory and Injunctive Relief)	
14	Plaintiffs,		
15			
13	V.		
16	YAVAPAI COUNTY, ARIZONA, by and		
10	through the YAVAPAI COUNTY BOARD OF		
17	SUPERVISORS and GLOBAL COMMUNITY		
-	COMMUNICATIONS ALLIANCE, an Arizona		
18	Corporation.		
19	Defendants.		
_			
20			
21	Equita Commisint assignt defendants District	ffe La Manna Haldin and H.C. and The	
21	For its Complaint against defendants, Plaintiffs La Merra Holdings, LLC and The		

E Mail Car Official Carry Day

For its Complaint against defendants, Plaintiffs La Merra Holdings, LLC and The Smoke Trail Ranch Property Owners Association, Inc. allege as follows:

1. Plaintiff La Merra Holdings, LLC ("La Merra") is a Delaware Limited Liability Company which owns residential real property located Yavapai County, Arizona, and which is located within a platted subdivision known as "Sedona Ranch" (the "La Merra Property").

26

22

23

24

25

- 2. Plaintiff The Smoke Trail Ranch Property Owners Association, Inc. ("Smoke Trail HOA") is an Arizona Nonprofit Corporation which represents five (5) property owners which own real property in Yavapai County in an area commonly known as "Smoke Trail Ranch."
- 3. Defendant Yavapai County is a governmental subdivision organized pursuant to the Constitution of Arizona, and which performs acts pursuant to the decisions of Defendant Yavapai County Board of Supervisors.
- 4. Defendant Global Community Communications Alliance ("Global") purports to be a non-profit corporation organized under the laws of Arizona, and which owns real property adjacent to La Merra Property and Smoke Trail Ranch (the "Global Property").
- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this case because Plaintiffs seek both declaratory and injunctive relief.
- 6. This Court has jurisdiction over the defendants, and venue is appropriate in this Court, because the acts complained of herein is located in Yavapai County, Arizona, and the real property that is the subject of this action is located in Yavapai County, Arizona.
- 7. This case qualifies for classification under Tier 3 discovery pursuant to Rule 26.2 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure.

ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 8. The foregoing allegations are incorporated herein by this reference.
- 9. The Global Property comprises approximately 15 acres having Assessor Parcel Number 408-31-025L.
- 10. The Global Property is subject to the zoning ordinances and use limitations imposed by Yavapai County, and the Global Property is currently zoned R1-12 and R1L-35.
- 11. The foregoing zoning allows only certain permitted residential uses, which are described and codified in Section 400 of the Yavapai County Planning and Zoning Ordinance (the "Zoning Ordinance").

- 12. Sedona Ranch is an upscale subdivision planned and platted by La Merra.
- 13. La Merra currently owns four residential lots in Sedona Ranch.
- 14. Sedona Ranch is contiguous with and located immediately to the southeast of the Global Property.
- 15. Smoke Trail HOA is a home owners association representing five (5) property owners in Smoke Trail Ranch.
- 16. Properties in Smoke Trail Ranch are located in the immediate vicinity to the west of the Global Property.
- 17. Sedona Ranch and Smoke Trail Ranch are also subject to residential zoning pursuant to the Zoning Ordinance.
- 18. Pursuant to the Zoning Ordinance, "[a]ny use or structure not specifically permitted by District Provisions (or analogous to a permitted use or structure) shall be deemed prohibited and unlawful."
- 19. Global has consistently used the Global Property in ways not permitted by any applicable District Provisions imposed by the Zoning Ordinance, including, *inter alia*, open fire pits, camp sites, non-permitted improvements, outdoor latrines, stages, amplified music, commercial sales, and food sales (collectively, the "Prohibited Uses").
- 20. Moreover, Global has consistently and routinely permitted and/or encouraged its business invitees to trespass onto the La Merra Property.
- 21. In 2019, Yavapai County informed representatives of La Merra and Smoke Trail HOA that it would enforce its Zoning Ordinance and prohibit Global from continuing to engage in the Prohibited Uses.
- 22. Yavapai County's enforcement was subject to a public hearing at which the Board of Adjustment voted unanimously to enforce its Zoning Ordinance and order Global to cease the Prohibited Uses.

- 23. Unbeknownst to La Merra and Smoke Trail HOA, Yavapai County secretly negotiated and entered into what it characterizes as a "Settlement Agreement" with Global wherein Yavapai County has consented to *not* enforce the Zoning Ordinance and to allow Global to continue (and, in fact, expand) the Prohibited Uses (the "Settlement Agreement").
- 24. Approval of the Settlement Agreement was purportedly the subject of a February 19, 2020 Yavapai County Board of Supervisors Meeting.
- 25. However, Yavapai County did not provide any description of any putative settlement between Yavapai County and Global in the statutorily required Notice for the February 19, 2020 Board of Supervisors Meeting.
- 26. Moreover, Yavapai County did not indicate on any agenda for the February 19, 2020 Board of Supervisors Meeting that a Settlement Agreement would be discussed, debated, or voted on.
- 27. To be sure, to the best of Plaintiffs' knowledge no draft of the Settlement Agreement was ever made available to the public for inspection and review prior to the February 19, 2020 Board of Supervisors Meeting.
- 28. Because it was agreed to in secret, Plaintiffs did not learn of the existence of the Settlement Agreement until August 2020, when the Settlement Agreement was the subject of media reports.

COUNT ONE

(Declaratory Relief—Against Yavapai County for Violation of the Open Meeting Law)

- 29. The allegations of the foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein by this reference.
- 30. Yavapai County and the Yavapai County Board of Supervisors constitute "Public Bodies" as defined by A.R.S. § 38-431(6).
- 31. A.R.S. 38-431.01 provides, in pertinent part, "[a]ll meetings of any public body shall be public meetings and all persons so desiring shall be permitted to attend and listen to

the deliberations and proceedings. All legal action of public bodies shall occur during a public meeting."

- 32. A.R.S. 38-431.02 required the County to "[p]ost all public meeting notices on their website and give additional public notice as is reasonable and practicable as to all meetings."
- 33. Moreover, the Legislature required the Yavapai County Board of Supervisors to publicly post an agenda of the February 19, 2020 meeting "of the matters to be discussed or decided at the meeting or information on how the public may obtain a copy of such an agenda," and such agenda was *required* to "list the *specific matters* to be discussed, considered or decided at the meeting." (emphasis added).
- 34. A.R.S. § 38-431.02 prohibited the County Board of Supervisors from "discuss[ing], consider[ing,] or mak[ing] decisions" on matters not identified in the agenda.
- 35. The Yavapai County Board of Supervisors did not comply with the Open Meeting law, because they did not publicly provide the required notice or agenda that listed the "specific matter" of a proposed settlement agreement between Yavapai County and Global.
- 36. A.R.S. § 38-431.05 provides that "[a]ll legal action transacted by any public body during a meeting held in violation of any provision of this article is null and void."
- 37. Because the Yavapai County Board of Supervisors did not comply with the Open Meeting law, the purported action of approving the Settlement Agreement is void; and thus, the Settlement Agreement is void.

COUNT TWO

(Injunctive Relief—Against Global)

- 5 -

38. The allegations of the foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein by this reference.

- 39. Global's continued and expanding Prohibited Uses violates zoning ordinances and other regulations governing the Global Property.
- 40. Global's continued and expanding Prohibited Uses causes a significant negative impact on Plaintiffs' use and enjoyment of the La Merra Property and Smoke Trail Ranch, because the Prohibited Uses cause harmful and noxious odors, smoke, amplified music at all hours, litter, debris, unsightliness, and other harms to the owners and invitees of La Merra and members of the Smoke Trail HOA.
- 41. Global's continued and expanding Prohibited Uses are unreasonable, unwarranted, and/or or unlawful, and produces such material annoyance, inconvenience, and discomfort to La Merra and Smoke Trail Ranch, that La Merra and members of the Smoke Trail HOA have been damaged.
- 42. Plaintiffs have no just, speedy, or adequate remedy at law to avoid injury resulting from Global's continued Prohibited Uses; and therefore, injunctive relief is an appropriate remedy.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully requests that the Court enter Judgment in their favor and against the Defendants named herein as follows:

- A. Declaring that the February 19, 2020 Board of Supervisors Meeting was conducted in violation of the Arizona Open Meeting Law, and that as a result, any purported action taken at such meeting is null and void.
 - B. Declaring that the Settlement Agreement is null and void.
- C. Preliminarily and permanently enjoining Global from continuing the Prohibited Uses;
- D. Awarding Plaintiffs damages against Global for the injuries La Merra and Smoke Trail HOA have suffered as a result of the Prohibited Uses;
- E. Ordering any other relief the circumstances may require or that the Court deems appropriate.

1	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 18th day of February, 2021.
2	DICKINSON WRIGHT, PLLC
3	
4	By: <u>/s/ Scot L. Claus</u> Scot L. Claus
5	Vail C. Cloar
6	Holly M. Zoe Attorneys for Plaintiff
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	